WEED AND APPLIED PLANT ECOLOGY

HOME WHO'S WHO OUR WORK NEWS TEACHING ALUMNI PHOTOS MORE ...



Thinking Through Non-Target Effects

Dave Mortensen, Distinguished Professor

- **Plant Sciences Department**
- Penn State University

dmortensen@psu.edu

http://www.weedecologypsu.com/

Landscaping with native plants





Bipartite Networks



- Method for evaluating the structure of interactions
- Tool for picking out important species

Agriculture, Nutrition and Food Systems – University of New Hampshire – February 5, 2018





Russo, L, N DeBarros, S Yang, K Shea, and D Mortensen. 2013. Supporting crop pollinators with floral resources: network-based phenological matching. Trends in Ecology and Evolution, 1-16



Bohnenblust, Vaudo, Egan, Mortensen, and Tooker. 2016. Effects of the herbicide dicamba on non-target plants and pollinator visitation. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry, Journal of Pest Science*, 35:144-151.



Bohnenblust, Vaudo, Egan, Mortensen, and Tooker. 2016. Effects of the herbicide dicamba on non-target plants and pollinator visitation. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry, Journal of Pest Science*, 35:144-151.

Broadleaf crop and field edge plant communities don't like herbicide drift



The scientists found that application of dicamba inhibited or delayed flowering in common boneset (Eupatorium perfoliatum), resulting in significantly reduced visitation by insect species, including honeybees (pollinators) and syrphid flies (natural enemies). The image shows a damaged E. perfoliatum plant that received a rate of 56 grams of dicamba per hectare.s



0.056 0.56

Dose (g/ha)

5.6

56.1

2

Ö

ö

Egan, Bohnenblust, Goslee, Mortensen, and Tooker. 2014. Herbicide drift can affect plant and arthropod communities. Agriculture, Ecosystems, and Environment

Egan, Graham, and Mortensen. 2014. A comparison of the herbicide tolerances of rare and common plants in an agricultural landscape. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry

USDA National Agriculture Statistics Service 'Crop Data Layer'







How much edge is there in Midwestern agricultural landscapes?



Coshocton County, Ohio USA

How much edge is there in Midwestern agricultural landscapes?





Arable-Natural Edge

Arable-Natural Edge



Arable-Arable Edge



Pollinator Accessible Spring Floral Resources



Label Rate Application Agzero







Conclusions

• Edge as a proportion of total landscape coverage ranged from less than 1 to 9 percent with an average of 4%. The "edgiest" landscapes were those with approximately 50% of the county planted to corn and soybean.



Conclusions

- Edge as a proportion of total landscape coverage ranged from less than 1 to 9 percent with an average of 4%. The "edgiest" landscapes were those with approximately 50% of the county planted to corn and soybean.
- The impact of the scenario evaluated was highly dependent on landscape context with the largest impacts observed in areas with the largest proportion corn and soybean.





Conclusions

- Edge as a proportion of total landscape coverage ranged from less than 1 to 9 percent with an average of 4%. The "edgiest" landscapes were those with approximately 50% of the county planted to corn and soybean.
- The impact of the scenario evaluated was highly dependent on landscape context with the largest impacts observed in areas with the largest proportion corn and soybean.
- At drift-level doses the *floral* and *pollinator resource* provisioning capacity of the landscape was reduced by approximately 20% in counties with greater than 50% corn and soybean and exceeded 40% when those same edges were exposed to label doses.



Applications and Future Work

- We need a more thorough understanding of the importance of model assumptions.
 - Field scale drift patterns
 - Extent of practice adoption



Applications and Future Work

- We need a more thorough understanding of the importance of model assumptions.
 - Field scale drift patterns
 - Extent of practice adoption
- Several parameters which strongly influenced model scenarios are not well understood.
 - Range of plant and pollinator responses to herbicide drift
 - Baseline resource estimates and pollinator use of habitats present in agricultural landscapes, including arable land.



Applications and Future Work

- We need a more thorough understanding of the importance of model assumptions.
 - Field scale drift patterns
 - Extent of practice adoption
- Several parameters which strongly influenced model scenarios are not well understood.
 - Range of plant and pollinator responses to herbicide drift
 - Baseline resource estimates and pollinator use of habitats present in agricultural landscapes, including arable land.
- A strong consideration for landscape context should be included in herbicide drift risk assessment and deregulation policy.



Our Team:



Franklin Egan (left), USDA Pasture Lab and **John Tooker**, Entomology Department



Felix BianchiWopke van der WerfFarming Systems Ecology Group, Wageningen University



Melanie Kammerer-Allen, Weed Ecology Lab

Our Team:



Franklin Egan (left), USDA Pasture Lab and **John Tooker**, Entomology Department



Felix BianchiWopke van der WerfFarming Systems Ecology Group, Wageningen University

Additional Collaborators and Support

Dr. Matt O'Neal, Iowa State University PSU Weed Ecology Lab Katy Barlow, Eric Bohnenblust, Anthony Vaudo, Laura Russo, David Kersey, Dr. Kat Shea

Funding: EPA-STAR, CRES Hatch, 2014 Wageningen University Fellowship

